

The Market Weighton School Non-Examination Assessment Policy

Policy Author	Sharon Arinkov
Date	Autumn 2019
Date Policy Adopted by the Governing Body	Autumn 2019
Review Date	Autumn 2023
Reviewed By	Zoe Jacobson
Next Review	Autumn 2024
Version	4

Contents

What does this policy affect?	3
Purpose of the policy	3
What are non-examination assessments?	3
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities	
The basic principles	4
Task setting	5
Issuing of tasks	5
Task taking	5
SupervisionError! Bookmark not de	fined.
Advice and feedback	6
Resources	6
Word and time limits	6
Collaboration and group work	6
Authentication procedures	7
Presentation of work	7
Keeping materials secure	7
Task marking – externally assessed components	8
Conduct of externally assessed work	8
Submission of work	9
Task marking – internally assessed components	9
Marking and annotation	9
Internal standardisation	10
Submission of marks and work for moderation	10
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks	11
External moderation – the process	11
External moderation - feedback	12
Access arrangements and resonable adjustments	12
Special consideration and loss of work	12
Malpractice	13
Post-results services	14
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use England	in 14
Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments	16

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not:

- Set by an awarding body
- Designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determine by the awarding body, and
- Taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'."

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication <u>Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments</u>— <u>Foreword</u>, page 31

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

[NEA - The basic principles, page 4]

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment.

What are non-examination assessments?

This is explained in NEA.

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking."

[NEA - The basic principles, page 4]

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of centre

- Returns an online "Head of Centre declaration" at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update to confirm awareness of and that relevant centre staff are adhering to the latest version of <u>NEA</u>
- Ensures that the centre's *non-examination Assessment Policy* is fit for purpose and covers all types on non-examination assessment
- Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)
- Ensures staff marking all non-examination assessments are fully qualified and trained to do so

Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

HOD (Head of Department) / Subject lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the nonexamination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers
- Quality assurance overseen by Subject Heads (HOD's) ensuring that awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments are used by their staff and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies
 with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments,
 including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on
 the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body

Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether
the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for
the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for
entries

Exams officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication <u>NEA</u> to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Task setting

Subject teacher

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates

Task taking

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own.
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>

 Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc. .
- Centres must refer to the JCQ document Al Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications: http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice as well as the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation published by the awarding bodies and the regulator

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

 Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work

- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs a member of the senior leadership team
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work
 has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark (s) awarded
 by the centre to zero

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

Keeping materials secure

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted

- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series.
- If post-results have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by the candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed.
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means reminds candidates of the contents of the JCQ document *Information for* candidates – social media.
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the
 protection and back-up of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in
 place to restrict access to it between sessions
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required

IT Manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

Task marking - externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations

Submission of work

Subject teacher

• Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

Exams officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where applicable
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensures that the package in which the work is dispatched is robust and securely fastened
- Dispatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking - internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Head of centre

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

HOD / Subject lead

• Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria

- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed in a timely manner to enable an internal appeal to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body. This means candidates must be informed of marks **3 weeks** prior to the internal deadline for submission of their marks to the Awarding body.

Internal standardisation

HOD / Subject lead

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by
 - obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - · making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
 - retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence (Quality assurance/verification)
- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Submission of marks and work for moderation

HOD / Subject teacher

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline

- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical
 assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the
 work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work,
 confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body / provides the Exams Officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Exams officer

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site. Ensures
 checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures
 mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the Head of Department/subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

Exams officer

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation – the process

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

External moderation - feedback

HOD / Subject lead

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

Exams officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Subject teacher

 Works with the SenCo/ALS lead to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator (SenCo)/Additional Learning Support (ALS Lead)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements</u> and Reasonable Adjustments in relation to non-examination assessments
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration and loss of work

Subject teacher

 Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work

- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

Exams officer

- Refers to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special consideration process</u>
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to Form 15 JCQ/LCW and where applicable submits to the relevant body

Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to report to the relevant awarding body any suspected cases of malpractice involving candidates, or centre staff
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (the only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be reported to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u>
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to</u> mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social</u> <u>Media</u>
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exams officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and</u>
 Assessments: Policies and Procedures to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to subject heads</u>
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of suspected malpractice

Post-results services

Head of centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

HOD / Subject lead

 Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

Exams officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication *Post Results Services*. *Information and guidance for centres*
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

 Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA)

Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Head of Department

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams officer

Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

15

Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow: • the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work - http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments	JCQ docs to staff at start of term by EO
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not: • submit work which is not their own • make available their work to other candidates through any medium • allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material • assist other candidates to produce work • use books, the internet or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution • submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement • include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and Information for candidates — Social Media - https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents and understand they must not post their work on social media	Candidates to receive candidate handbook JCQ documents placed on website by EO / Headteacher PA
	Task setting	
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	Exams Officer (EO)/IT MANAGER
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	Head of Department (HOD)
Candidates do not understand the marking	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria	HOD

criteria and what they		
need to do to gain credit	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff	HOD/
absence during the task	extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Headteacher
setting stage	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	Issuing of tasks	
Awarding body set task	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as	
not issued to candidates	detailed in the specification noted prior to start of	HOD
on time	course	1105
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for	
	planning, resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct	HOD
	task will be issued to candidates	EO/HOD
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff	HOD/
absence during the issuing of tasks stage	extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Headteacher
issuing or thore stage	Task taking	
Supervision	- uon ummg	
Planned assessments	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	Heads of
clash with other centre or candidate activities	,	Departments
	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	HOD's to liaise Headteacher PA
Rooms or facilities	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms	
inadequate for candidates	and IT facilities for the start of the course	
to take tasks under		EO/HOD/ IT
appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates	Manager
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the	HOD
candidates to enable work to be authenticated	current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non- examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates	HOD
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	HOD/EO
A candidate is suspected	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ	Head Teacher/
of malpractice prior to	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	EO to ensure
submitting their work for assessment	assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed	relevant

		documents issued to staff
	An internal investigation and where appropriate internal	
	disciplinary procedures are followed	Head teacher/Deput y Head / EO
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	SENCo to advise all subject staff of AA's
a.v.a.v.g		EO to apply for SC
Advice and feedback		I
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	HOD
starting on their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice	Subject teacher
	given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	todorioi
Candidate claims no	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed	
advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	HOD/Subject teacher
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant	Head teacher/EO
that allowed in the regulations and specification	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not reference information from published source	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment	HOD
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	EO
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment	HOD/Subject teacher

	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	EO
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	HOD
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	EO
An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s)	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate	Head Teacher / Deputy Head
Resources		1
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	Subject teacher
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	IT Manager
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources	Subject teacher/HOD
	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	EO/HOD
Word and time limits		
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood	Subject teacher/HOD
Collaboration and group v	vork	
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted	HOD/Deputy Head

specification states this is	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue	HOD
not permitted	remains unresolved	
Authentication procedure	S	
A teacher has doubts	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of	EO to email
about the authenticity of	the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment	doc at start of
the work submitted by a	material and candidates' work	new term
candidate for internal		
assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with	EO to place
	the current JCQ document Information for candidates:	notices on
	non-examination assessments	exams
		noticeboard.
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	
Candidate plagiarises	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the	HOD
other material	awarding body	
Candidate does not sign	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with	EO
their authentication	the current JCQ document Information for candidates:	
statement/declaration	non-examination assessments	
		Subject
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting	teacher/HOD/
	the work of a candidate for formal assessment	EO
Subject teacher not	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	HOD
available to sign	teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of	
authentication forms	marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality	
	assurance procedures	
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed	Subject
complete the awarding	before accepting the work of a candidate for formal	teacher/HOD/
body's cover sheet that is	assessment	EO
attached to their worked		
submitted for formal		
assessment		
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and	JCQ docs to
formal supervised	follow current JCQ publication Instructions for	staff at the
sessions is not securely	conducting non-examination assessments	start of term by
stored		EO
	Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of	
	appropriate secure storage	HOD/EO
Adequate secure storage	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is	EO to remind
not available to subject	available to subject teacher prior to the start of the	staff at the
teacher	course	start of the
	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	new term
Candidates work	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and	JCQ docs to
produced electronically is	follow current JCQ publication Instructions for	staff at start of
not securely stored	conducting non-examination assessments	term by EO

Та	Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT Manager ensures:	IT Manager
A candidate is absent on	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if	HOD
the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	EO to apply for SC
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Subject teacher/HOD
Та	sk marking – internally assessed components	
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	Subject teacher/HOD
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed	HOD/EO to complete lost coursework
Candidate malpractice is discovered	for lost or damaged work Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	form Deputy Head/Head Teacher/EO
A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close	A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series	Subject teacher/HOD/ EO to send

personal relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes stepfamily, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter)	Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	conflict of interest to Awarding Body
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	EO
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	EO
A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Candidates are informed of their marks at least two	Subject teacher
	weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the HOD for the submission of marks, and that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process	Subject teacher
	Internal appeals process	Documented by EO
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	Subject staff EO EO/AB
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	EO sends out schedule in timely manner.
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	HOD/Deputy Head to address